TO	Appro	ved For Re			I : CIA			80R	20002			
200		CHANGE PROPOSAL X										
DATE	24 <b>JULY</b> 1	962		AFFI	ECTS:		ws	PO	x	P	ROJECT [	<b>3</b>
	of Major ( <b>AT EJECTION</b>		T PAR	OR LC	OWEST	SUBAS	SEMBLY	,		PART NO.	& MODEL	OR TYPE
TITLE	OF PROPOSAL	: EJ	ection 8	YSTEM	MODIF	ICATI	ON					•
NATU	RE OF PROPO	SAL: TO	MODIFY	THE EJ	ECTIO	n sys	TEM AS	FC	LLO	18:		
2, 3. 4.	Beef Up T Replace 2	lescoping oping Tre Head Ber he Floor Second D	Tracks cks Duri And Fit Structur clay M-6	And Fing Eje Ber Wi To To Tiniti	t The ction th Carake H	Seat nopy igher With	With Breaks Catan	Tra er F pult	oint Los Del	instchers is. ids. ay T-34	Initiator	
	Prepare A		A Servic	e Bull	etin .	And F	abrice	ite	The	N <del>e</del> cessar	y Kits.	
		s	EE PAGE	2								· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
							:					
<b>7</b>											y <del>e</del>	
ES CP	ESTIMATED COST AND TIME INVOLVED :											
	ADDITIONAL ESTIMATED CO	<del></del>	<del></del>		err 1	DAME :						
	ESTIMATED COST FOR KITS OR PARTS: SEE PAGE 3  ADDITIONAL FUNDING REQUIRED: NONE SP-1922 & SP-1923											
TEMS	AFFECTED BY	PROPOSAL	•			·	<del></del> -					
SAFETY	MISSION EFFEC- TIVENESS	PERFORM- C	PERATING PROCEDURE	INTER- CHANGE- ABILITY	WEIGHT WEIGHT BALAN		TOOLS & SUPPORT DUIPMENT	I 1	NAINTE- NANCE DCEDUR	SERVICE	FLIGHT MANUAL	MAINTE- NANCE
X			x	x					X		X	MANUAL
	AN/HRS. REQ		OMPLISH	CHANGE				-				
SOURC	E OF PARTS F	OK KIÍ				<b>NAILA</b>	BILITY		U	WEEKS A	FTER APPRO	OVAL
DISPOS	SITION OF SPA	ARES AFFEC	TED							<del></del>		S
INITIA	FED BY :	'mbjecty	elease 200	02/10/31	I : Cha	KBP8	9B069	80R	0002	20 0180062	-6	
P-E-IA	*	<del></del>		<del></del>	<u>_</u>				lea		PAGE 1	<u> </u>

0

## NATURE OF PROPOSAL

In general, the reason for the Change Proposal is to eliminate deficiencies in the ejection system which were discovered during a recent test program. More detailed reasons for each change consist of the following:

- 1. The present M-4 should be more powerful so as to achieve greater ejection clearances under adverse conditions; to better overcome track friction in the system; and to provide more thrust for canopy breakage.
- 2. The track modification reduces friction and eliminates the potential for interference which presently exists.
- 3. The head bar modification provides easier canopy penetration for through-canopy ejection.
- 4. Self-explanatory.

÷

5. It is felt that a 2 second delay from "0" ring pull to catapult firing is too great as it the 2 second delay from catapult firing to lap belt unlatch. A one second delay for each of these functions will improve the system.